UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,128	12/31/2003	Niniane Wang	24207-10093	9784
62296 GOOGLE / FEI	7590 07/30/201 NWICK	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL		SCIACCA, SCOTT M		
801 CALIFORI MOUNTAIN V	YIEW, CA 94041	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2446		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoc@fenwick.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/750,128	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

zororo uro i ming or uri i ppour ziror	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Scott M. Sciacca	2446						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED on 7/9/2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
i) In the period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(•	00()						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the confidence of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the confidence of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection. 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	i ⊏ below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a €	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>40</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15-27,30-39,41 and 42</u> .								
Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-12,10-21,30-39,41 and 42.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
/Jeffrey Pwu/	/Scott M. Sciacca/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2446	Examiner, Art Unit 2446							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "examining a representation of a mapping of usage variable values to resource usage levels, wherein each tuple in the mapping specifies a particular value of the usage variable and a particular resource usage level; identifying a tuple of the mapping for which the particular value of the usage variable matches the value assigned to the usage variable; and the application modifying its own execution to use the particular resource usage level specified by the identified tuple" changes the scope of the claim and requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments filed after prosecution is closed require further search and consideration.